1 2 3 4	GRIEVANCE BOARD  UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
5	IN RE:
6	HONEYBEE REGULATIONS
7	
8	Hearing held on the 22nd day of October, 2002
9	at 9:00 a.m.
10 11 12	Royal Kona Resort 75-5852 Alii Drive Kailua Kona, HI 95740
13 14	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
15	
16	BEFORE: HONORABLE RICHARD KELLY
17	USDA SPEAKERS:
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31	WAYNE WEHLING LINDA TORAN  AUDIENCE PARTICIPANTS: MISHA SPERKA DR. HELEN BEBAN GARNETT PUETT GUS ROUSE PAM BRASHEAR MICHAEL KRONES LARRY NAKAHALA FREDERIC COLOMBO JUDY MIGLIORI LYLE WONG
32 33 34	

York Stenographic Services, Inc. 34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	IND.	ĽХ
2		
3	SPEAKER	PAGE
4	Richard Kelly [opening]	3
5	Wayne Wehling	7
6	Misha Sperka	15
7	Helen Beban	18
8	Garnett Puett	31
9	Gus Rouse	32
10	Pam Brashear	42
11	Michael Krones	45
12	Larry Nakahara	50
13	Frederic Colombo	57
14	Judy Migliori	63
15	Lyle Wong	64
16		
17	INDEX TO	EXHIBITS
18	[None]	

York Stenographic Services, Inc. 34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

•	
2	October 22, 2002
3	MR. KELLY: Good morning and welcome to the
4	Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service's Public
5	Hearing on a proposed rule that would allow honeybees
6	from Australia and honeybees and honeybee germ plasm
7	from New Zealand to be imported into the United States
8	under certain conditions. The proposal would also
9	impose certain conditions on the importation into the
10	U.S. of bees and related articles from Canada, and would
11	prohibit the interstate movement of honey bees into
12	Hawaii, as it would also consolidate all of our
13	regulations concerning bees. We believe these changes
14	would make these regulations more consistent with
15	international standards, would update them to reflect
16	current research and germinology and would simplify them
17	to make them more useful. My name is Richard Kelly, and
18	I'm a Regulatory Analyst for the U. S. Department of
19	Agriculture's Animal and Plant Health Inspection
20	Service. I'll be the presiding officer for today's
21	Hearing. Today's Hearing in Kailua-Kona is the first of
22	three public Hearings that will be held on the proposed
23	rule. The second Hearing is scheduled to be held on
24	October 24, in Fresno, California. The third Hearing is
25	scheduled to be held on October 29, in Beltsville,
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	Maryland. Notice of these Public Hearings was included
2	in the proposed rule, which is published in the "Federal
3	Register" on August 19, 2002. The purpose of today's
4	Public Hearing is to give interested persons the
5	opportunity for the oral presentation of data, views or
6	arguments on the August 19 proposed rules. Those
7	persons that are testifying will have the opportunity to
8	ask questions about the proposed rule. The APHIS
9	personnel here, that being essentially Wayne, will try
10	to respond to clarify the provisions of the proposed
11	rule. However, we view this Hearing as an opportunity
12	for us to receive public comments, and not as an
13	opportunity to debate the merits of the provisions of
14	the rule. At this Hearing, any interested party may
15	appear and be heard in person or through a
16	representative. Persons who have registered either by
17	email or phone in advance of the Hearing or who
18	registered this morning in person will be given an
19	opportunity to speak in the order that they registered.
20	After all registered persons have been heard, persons
21	who have not registered can have an opportunity to
22	speak. So, in other words, if you did not sign up to
23	speak, but you decide, during the course of the meeting,
24	that you have something to say, we'll make time at the
25	end for you to do that. The "Federal Register" notice
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	stated that today's Hearing is scheduled to start at
2	nine and conclude at 5:00 p.m. We're actually starting
3	about 9:15, and based on the number of speakers, I think
4	we'll probably be finished well before five, probably by
5	about noontime. To meet that schedule, if necessary, I
6	would have to limit the amount of time speakers could
7	speak, but judged by what you've all signed up for,
8	nobody needs an undue amount of time, and we won't have
9	to do that today. All comments made here today are
10	being recorded and will be transcribed. The Court
11	Reporter for today's Hearing is Rob Carlyon of Aloha
12	South. A copy of the Hearing transcript will be posted
13	on our website, which is given in the address section of
14	the proposed rule. We hope to have that transcript
15	posted within about two weeks. A copy of the Hearing
16	transcript is also available for public inspection in
17	our APHIS comment reading room in USDA South Building in
18	Washington, D.C. As presiding officer, I'll announce
19	each registered speaker. Before commencing your
20	remarks, I'm asking each of you to come up to the podium
21	here and speak into the microphone so that we can get a
22	clear and accurate record, as well as letting everyone
23	else hear. We also ask that you start off your
24	statement by saying and then spelling your name. This
25	is primarily for benefit of the Court Reporter. I'm
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1 requesting, and I've already asked that if you have 2 copies of your prepared statement, that you give me a 3 Any written or oral comments submitted at today's copy. 4 Hearing will become part of the public record for the 5 Hearing. If you plan to use visual aids during the 6 presentation, please let us know about that. We already 7 know of one case of using Power Point slides, and Wayne 8 is also going to be using some Power Point slides during 9 his presentation. I'd like to remind everyone the close 10 of the comment period for submitting comments on the 11 proposed rule is November 18, 2002. Any comments in 12 addition to those that are presented at today's Hearing 13 may be submitted before that date by postal mail at the 14 address listed in the proposed rule, or by email, again, 15 at the address listed in the proposed rule. Before I'm 16 concluding my remarks, I'd like to introduce the person 17 seated beside me, Mr. Wayne Wehling, who is the Program 18 Manager for this proposed rule. Mr. Wehling will 19 provide an overview of the provisions of the proposed 20 rule next, and will be available to answer questions, if 21 you have any, regarding the rule. At this point, let me 22 turn it over to Wayne. Thank you, Richard. 23 MR. WEHLING: 24 MR. KELLY: Louder. Okay, can you keep the 25 level up just a little?

York Stenographic Services, Inc. 34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	MR. WEHLING: Here, I'll shut both doors here
2	or the partitions. Our partition on the lower one.
3	Well good morning, everyone and welcome. It's a delight
4	to be here in Kona. Hopefully, we'll have a good
5	opportunity to gain all the information we need to move
6	forward as we think about this new proposed rule. I'm
7	Wayne Wehling. I am an entomologist with the Animal
8	Plant Health Inspection Service, Protection and
9	Quarantine. I'm in Riverdale, Maryland, which is our
10	headquarters. My area of expertise is two, actually.
11	manage the butterfly houses and insect zoos around the
12	country, dealing with the assorted insects that are
13	selected for exhibit in those facilities. And having
14	about 35 years experience in either honeybee keeping per
15	se, or actually, in pollination ecology, I'm also
16	responsible for honeybees and other pollinary bees. So,
17	with that introduction, we'll jump right in, and
18	hopefully the electronics will be in our favor today, or
19	work in our favor. I want to give you a little bit of
20	the background and history on the rule as it exists
21	right now so you know where we're coming from. Aha, we
22	got one good side out of it. There we go. The USDA
23	deregulations arise from two separate pieces of
24	legislation. The Honeybee Act of 1922 and, more
25	recently, the Plant Protection Act of 2000. The Plant
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	Protection Act of 2000 is actually a summary and
2	culmination of a number of other previous Acts,
3	primarily of which was the Plant Pest Act. The Honeybee
4	Act is regulated under Chapter 7 of the Code of Federal
5	Rules, Regulations, Part 322. The old the existing
6	regulation, as it now stands, says, and I'll just read
7	from this, "Regulates the importation of honeybees and
8	honeybee semen from any country other than Canada.
9	Honeybee importation is restricted to the USDA or by
10	permit." In other words, only the USDA is allowed to
11	import bees currently. Honeybee semen from Australia,
12	Bermuda, France, Great Britain and Sweden can be
13	imported by permit, and all other countries are
14	restricted. Honeybees from New Zealand can transit
15	Hawaii en route to Canada with proper packaging and
16	certification. The Plant Protection Act covers the
17	other portion of the bee regulations. 322 was for,
18	specifically, honeybees. 319-76 is the other pollinator
19	bees. The Apoidea and leafcutter bees and blue orchard
20	bees and those sorts. Life bees, other than honeybees
21	and the genus Apis are involved in this. This,
22	basically, is any bee in the superfamily apoidia. Used
23	bee boards, beehive beehives, nests and nesting
24	materials also come under this regulation. So the bees,
25	themselves, were under 322, the physical animal, but all
	York Stenographic Services Inc

1	of the related beekeeping equipment is actually under
2	319-76. So things like smokers, hive tools, gloves,
3	clothing and the like, shipping containers, are
4	regulated under 319-76. I now want to give you a little
5	bit of the background on the proposed changes. They
6	are largely the impetus of recent trade agreements,
7	primarily as a result of the World Trade Organization.
8	Both the GATT Agreement, which is the General Agreement
9	on Tariffs and Trade, and the NAFTA, North American Free
10	Trade Agreement, have been the impetus for these
11	proposed rules. Under these agreements, the United
12	States is obligated to consider honeybees from countries
13	where science-based analysis indicated acceptable risk
14	levels and/or adequate risk management. The
15	International, interesting one here, Office
16	International des Epizooties is a French organization
17	that, under WTO, has been given a responsibility of
18	coming up with the standards for regulating honeybees.
19	The WTO and NAFTA Agreements provide that member
20	countries should ensure that any sanitary or
21	phytosanitary measure is applied only to the extent
22	necessary to protect human, animal or plant life or
23	health, and that these standards are based on scientific
24	principles, and is not maintained without sufficient
25	scientific evidence. With those agreements in mind, we
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	have had several formal requests for the importation of
2	honeybees into the United States. The first coming from
3	New Zealand clear back in 1978. More recently from
4	Australia, and now Argentina. They have all formally
5	requested access of their honeybees to our markets. We
6	have prepared risk assessments, as has been mentioned
7	here, for the importation of honeybees and honeybee
8	semen from New Zealand, and honeybees from Australia.
9	The risk assessment for Argentina is currently under
10	preparation. The proposed rule deals with two portions
11	here again. The 319 parts and 322 parts. This is
12	Docket No. 98-109-1, and its title is "Bees and Related
13	Articles. Notice of Public Hearings and Proposed Rule."
14	The proposed rule would combine 319-76 and 322 into one
15	new part, 322. So the new 322 regulation, which is
16	governed by both the old Honeybee Act and the new Plant
17	Protection Act. What I'm going to do here is just
18	basically go through and discuss the new structure of
19	the proposed rule, and also the specific changes that
20	we're proposing under that new structure. I'm going to
21	touch on just the highlights, not the full level of
22	details, so there are going to be a lot of little bits
23	and fragments that are left out. The new regulation
24	would change the terminology and bring it up to date,
25	bring it into compliance with OIE standards. It would
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	make our regulations more consistent with those of other
2	countries. The proposal would reorganize the
3	regulations into five subparts lettered "A" through "E.
4	Subpart "A" would include definitions and general
5	requirements for interstate movement within and
6	importation into the United States for beekeeping,
7	beekeeping equipment, beekeeping byproducts and used
8	beekeeping equipment. What I'm going to do well,
9	that orange doesn't show up particularly well. This
10	subpart title, as I've just read it, will appear in the
11	finer print there in the top in the light color, and now
12	the changes are going to appear in orange below that.
13	So the changes that are proposed for subpart "A" are in
14	Sections 1, 2 and 3, and the changes would prohibit the
15	importation of pollen for bee feed and used beekeeping
16	equipment except for use in natural museum displays.
17	The proposed change would prohibit the interstate
18	transport of honeybees into Hawaii. Currently, the
19	restrictions of honeybees into Hawaii are state
20	legislation and not federal. The changes would
21	establish Hawaii as a honeybee pest-free area relative
22	to the OIE Guidelines and the specific organisms that
23	are considered under OIE. Subpart "B" would cover
24	importation of honeybees, honeybee germ plasm and bees
25	other than honeybees from approved regions. The
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	changes, overall, that would be included in that part
2	would be to allow the importation of adult honeybees and
3	honeybee germ plasm from New Zealand. It would allow
4	the importation of adult honeybees from Australia. It
5	would require direct shipments from exporting countries.
6	And we'll talk a little bit more about that under
7	another part but, basically, this would not allow New
8	Zealand honeybees going to the United States that
9	stopover in Hawaii to transship. They could not switch
10	aircraft in Honolulu. This would also require an export
11	certificate from the appropriate government agency in
12	the exporting country. In addition, under this subpart
13	"B," honeybees destined for Hawaii from New Zealand or
14	Australia would require an export certificate from the
15	appropriate government agency and inspection, actually,
16	on the day of transit in New Zealand, in addition to an
17	inspection not more than ten days prior to shipment. So
18	two inspections overall. Again, continuing with subpart
19	"B," honeybees destined for Hawaii from New Zealand
20	would require that the bees are derived exclusively from
21	an apiary situated in the center of a zone of 50
22	kilometers in radius, which is about 31 miles, in which
23	no cases of Varroa have been reported for two years, but
24	for tracheal mite, the apiary must be situated in the
25	center of a zone 5 kilometers in radius for which no
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1 cases of tracheal mites have been reported in the past eight months. And this is in accordance with the OIE 2 3 Guidelines. Continuing with subpart "B," bees other 4 than honeybees, other than honeybees, from Canada, would 5 require an export certificate indicating that the bees 6 were produced in the exporting region and that they are 7 the offspring of queens and drones or semen also of 8 Canadian origin. Subpart "C" would cover importation of 9 restricted organisms, i.e. honeybee brood in the cone, 10 bees be germ plasm from regions that don't meet the 11 criteria for importation under subpart "B." The changes 12 would -- we would allow restricted organisms to be 13 imported only for research or experimental purposes by 14 federal, state and university researchers, and only 15 under permit, and only in accordance with strict 16 packaging, handling, inspection and post-entry 17 The change here is that under the current requirements. 18 regulations, only the USDA is allowed to import 19 honeybees for research. This would extend that out to 20 state and local authorities, and also university 21 Subpart "D" would cover shipments of researchers. restricted organisms transited the United States en 22 23 route to other destinations. And the change here is 24 that it would prohibit the transloading of restricted Subpart "E" would 25 organisms at any port in Hawaii. York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	cover importation and transit of restricted articles,
2	i.e. dead bees, the superfamily apoidia. This has been
3	an overlooked aspect of the regulations. Basically, any
4	bee within the superfamily apoidia currently requires a
5	permit to be brought into the United States, even if
6	it's a dead pendance inspect specimen prepared for
7	scientific purposes. This subpart "E" would also cover
8	the importation of beeswax for beekeeping unless it has
9	been liquefied, and honey for bee feed. The change
10	would be that APHIS would require a ten-day notice of
11	arrival for importation and transit of a restricted
12	article. As Richard has already mentioned, here's the
13	location to submit your comments, although most of you,
14	I presume, are making comments here today, and that will
15	be entered into the record. If you have additional
16	follow-up questions, they can certainly be submitted to
17	us in writing or via email, also at the address that can
18	barely be read there: regulations@aphis.usda.gov.
19	Those that are submitted by November 18 will be
20	considered. That's all I have. Thank you.
21	MR. KELLY: Thank you, Wayne. I know some of
22	you may have questions suggested by Wayne's
23	presentations. I'm going to ask you to hold off of
24	that. You can either raise them during the course of
25	your own presentation or after the presentations we will
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	put wayne on the spot for a little bit to answer any
2	questions that haven't been answered throughout the
3	course of the morning. I'm going to start off with the
4	registered speakers now. Let me just give you an idea
5	of the order that you're coming up here. The order
6	represents the order in which you either pre-registered
7	or signed up there this morning. And if anybody wants
8	to make a swap with somebody else, for whatever reason,
9	that's okay with me. Just let us know. The first
10	speaker will be Misha Sperka of the Hawaii Beekeepers'
11	Association. The second speaker will be Dr. Helen Bebar
12	of the New Zealand Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry.
13	And the third speaker will be Garnett Puett of Captain
14	Cook Honey. We've got about five more speakers beyond
15	those, but I'll just give you the first three so that
16	you can your thoughts organized. Misha, would you care
17	to come up and start?
18	MS. SPERKA: Good morning. I'm Misha Sperka
19	of the Hawaii Beekeepers' Association, and also with Old
20	Hawaiian Coffee Company here in Hawaii. And what I have
21	here is a memorandum that's written by the Hawaii
22	Beekeepers' Association, which is to inform you that the
23	Board of Directors and members of the Hawaii Beekeepers'
24	Association unanimously oppose the proposed rule that
25	permit any importation of live honeybees into the State
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	of Hawaii from Australia, New Zealand, Canada, or
2	anywhere else, in violation of the existing state laws.
3	The Hawaiian Islands are a fragile island ecosystem,
4	whose original biota is already much distressed by the
5	introduction of more than 5,000 known macrofloral and
6	macrofaunal taxa and a very large, but unknown, number
7	of microorganisms. These introductions have directly
8	resulted in the highest rates of extinction and
9	endangerment on the planet Earth. Not one introduced
10	species has ever been eradicated despite the expenditure
11	of huge amounts of time and money, and no one extinct
12	plant or animal has been resurrected. Our islands are
13	the victims of purposefully and accidentally introduced
14	pests, of pathogen pollution, and disease agent
15	spillover that have silently destroyed more species of
16	endemic avian, molluscan and plant species than now
17	exist. This has resulted in an unreversible
18	impoverishment of the biodiversity of these islands.
19	The unintended and unforeseeable consequences of
20	allowing, for the first time in modern history, the open
21	and uncontrolled introduction into Hawaii of Apis
22	mellifera from anywhere else on earth could include the
23	introduction of microbiological pathogens that could
24	spill over and impact the 22 species of nature of
25	native bees or any of the hundreds of other endemic
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1 hymenopteran or dipteran species that are present here. 2 The loss of these insects could result in impaired 3 pollination of some of our extremely endangered Hawaiian 4 plants, and there could be a cascade of other, 5 undirectional, permanent, ecological events. Aside from 6 our threatened endemic flora and fauna, we believe that 7 the very large number of wild, approximately more than 8 one million, and managed, approximately 1,000 Apis 9 mellifera colonies that exist in Hawaii constitute a 10 biodiversity resource of global importance. 11 assets must not be squandered simply because a few 12 nations, whose biological assets are already severely 13 compromised, wish to realize a very economic gain, a 14 very small economic gain. It is already well known that 15 once the mite, Varroa destructor, becomes established in 16 an ecosystem, that virtually all feral honeybee colonies 17 die out within a few years. It has been reported that 18 more than 90 percent of unmanaged honeybee colonies in 19 the affected areas of North America have already 20 disappeared. We believe that Hawaii's feral honeybees 21 are a source of genomic materials that mankind may well 22 come to depend upon in the future to select stock for as 23 yet unknown adaptive characters such as disease 24 resistance, climatic adaptiveness, hygienic behavior and 25 productivity. This heritage is the future and must be York Stenographic Services, Inc.

```
1
        fully protected. And that's the comment from Hawaii
2
        Beekeepers' Association, and here's a copy for you.
 3
                  MR. KELLY: Thank you very much, Mr. Sperka.
4
        Could you please spell your name for us, please, for the
5
        record?
                  MR. SPERKA: Misha, M-i-s-h-a.
6
                                                  The last name
7
        is Sperka, S-p-e-r-k-a.
8
                  MR. KELLY:
                              Thank you. I would also encourage
9
        that each of your membership submit comments
10
        individually, if possible.
11
                  MR. SPERKA: Okay.
                                      Thank you very much.
12
                  MR. KELLY: Thank you. Our next speaker will
13
        be Dr. Helen Beban of New Zealand Ministry of
14
        Agriculture and Forestry. And I believe she has some
15
        Power Point slides to set up, which may take a moment.
16
        [pause] I think we're in business. If you could start,
17
        once again, by spelling your name for the Court
18
        Reporter, then we'd appreciate it.
19
                             Sure.
                                    My name is Helen Beban,
                  DR. BEBAN:
20
        H-e-l-e-n B-e-b-a-n. I'm a National Advisor in the
21
        International Animal Trade Team in Ngaherehere, whose --
22
        oh, sorry. Did you get that at all? I'm Helen Beban,
23
       H-e-l-e-n B-e-b-a-n. I'm a National Advisor in the
24
        International Animal Trade Team in Ngaherehere, New
25
        Zealand. First of all, thank you for the opportunity
                         York Stenographic Services, Inc.
```

1	for New Zealand Ministry of Ag to come here today to
2	give their comments on the USDA proposed rule. Really,
3	our two countries of Hawaii and New Zealand are very
4	similar. We're also an island nation with very severe
5	problems as far as are endemic species concerned. In
6	New Zealand, we only one native species, a mammal, which
7	is a bat. All of our other endemic species mostly are
8	birds. And many of these are ground-walking birds.
9	They don't need to fly because there are no predators.
10	And as you can imagine, the impact of introduced species
11	has been very, very severe in our nation, as it was
12	here. We certainly empathize with you. To make it
13	clear, New Zealand does not wish to import bees into
14	Hawaii. That has not ever been our that is not our
15	intention. And it certainly, we do not see that there
16	is a market here for our honeybees. What we wish to do
17	is to import into the Continental U.S. But we would
18	it is better I would explain we would like to transit
19	through Hawaii. For background, as Wayne has already
20	told us, in 1978, New Zealand first made a request for
21	access of our honeybees to the United States.
22	Discussions on technical issues took place, cooperative
23	research and exchange visits by scientists from the USDA
24	and MAF. These took place during the 1980's. And this
25	process culminated in the USDA publishing a draft rule
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	in February, 1990, proposing to allow full access for
2	New Zealand honeybees and honeybee semen into the United
3	States. That was not published into a final rule.
4	Given the 25-year history of this issue, MAF was
5	delighted when in August, 2002, a new draft rule was
6	published. And we trust that the comment, public
7	hearings and final rule process will proceed without
8	further interruption.
9	MR. KELLY: Maybe we can hear the projector,
10	itself.
11	DR. BEBAN: Oh. MAF and the USDA have
12	invested considerable resource into this issue over the
13	long history. We believe the ability of Continental
14	U.S.A. beekeepers to access New Zealand honeybees and
15	germplasm will be of benefit to both countries. We
16	committed to doing all we can to facilitate the
17	development of this trade. The proposed trade in
18	honeybees to Continental United States is an example of
19	mutually beneficial trade. This occurs due to the
20	impact of seasonality in the northern hemisphere and
21	southern hemisphere, as the autumn surplus bees in the
22	southern hemisphere overlaps with the spring shortage of
23	bees in the northern hemisphere. This benefit has been
24	demonstrated in the trade of New Zealand honeybees to
25	Canada, which has benefited the beekeeping industries in
	Vork Stenographic Services Inc

1	both countries, and increased the number of pollination
2	colonies available in Canada. Demand in the Continental
3	United States for queen bees in early spring, March and
4	April, typically outstrips supply, and it is not
5	uncommon for beekeepers to be unable to obtain bees and
6	queens when they are wanted. Even taking into account
7	the availability of queens from Hawaii, whose tropical
8	location enables year-round queen production.
9	Requeening and establishing new colonies early in the
10	season enables beekeepers to maximize their production.
11	The increasing demand from the almond industry in
12	California for pollinated colonies has increased the
13	pressure on early queen supplies from commercial
14	pollinators. In the southern hemisphere, March to April
15	is our fall. And at this time beekeepers have surplus -
16	- have bees surplus to winter carry-over requirements
17	and conditions are suitable for queen bee production.
18	MAF believes there is likely to be demand for New
19	Zealand bees from Continental U. S. beekeepers in early
20	spring. The availability of additional queens and
21	package bees at this time will be a benefit to
22	Continental U. S. beekeepers and pollination recipients
23	such as I've said, the California almond producers. It
24	is likely that this window of opportunity will be
25	narrowed, we believe, approximately one month. After
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1 this time beekeepers in the warmer southern states will 2 be able to supply the demand. In summary, New Zealand 3 producers have the ability to supply queens and packages 4 at a time when existing suppliers may be unable to meet 5 this demand. The widespread loss of colonies caused by 6 Varroa is likely to have had negative consequences for 7 the genetic diversity of honeybee stocks in the United 8 Since honeybee colonies have much reduced States. 9 survival rates in the presence of Varroa without human 10 intervention, the background reservoir of diverse 11 genetic material in the form of feral honeybee colonies 12 is likely to have been seriously depleted, as a former 13 speaker mentioned to us. In the absence of a diverse 14 gene pool, inbreeding problems such as queen supersedure 15 and poor brood viability are likely to occur, adversely 16 affecting honey production and paid pollinator 17 activities. The ability to introduce new genetic stock 18 from a source that poses no hazards for Continental 19 United States beekeeping industry is, therefore, a 20 significant benefit to the U.S. beekeepers and 21 horticulturists. The New Zealand export beekeeping 22 industry is very small, and beekeeping industry overall 23 is very small in comparison to the United States. 24 given you their figures as of 12th of September, 2002. 25 As there is less climatic variation between the York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	different regions of New Zealand than the U. S., there
2	is negligible usage of package bees by domestic
3	beekeepers. Queen production is focused on the domestic
4	market and small-scale exports to a number of countries.
5	Total annual live bee exports in 2001 were 12,631 x1kg
6	packages and 14,287 queen bees. Approximately half of
7	these went to Canada. There are only two regular queen
8	and package bee exporters in New Zealand. Although
9	access for New Zealand bees to Continental United States
10	will, we believe, have a positive effect for the U. S.
11	beekeepers who may otherwise be unable to obtain queen
12	bees and packages at their preferred time, and will be a
13	significant boost to the New Zealand queen and package
14	exporters, the low volume of imports will have a
15	negligible effect on the honeybee industry in Hawaii or
16	in the Southern United States. All apiaries in New
17	Zealand must be registered with MAF. The Biosecurity
18	Act 1993 has provisions for the control and/or
19	eradication of unwanted organisms. A Pest Management
20	Strategy for American foulbrood is mandated under this
21	Act. AFB was detected in only .48 percent of total
22	hives in 2002. The feeding of antibiotics for American
23	foulbrood is prohibited. European foulbrood
24	(Melissococcus pluton) has not been found in New
25	Zealand. The tracheal mite is also not in New Zealand.
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

York Stenographic Services, Inc. 34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	The Animal Products Act 1999 provides for export
2	certification. Part of this legislation provides an
3	Official Assurances Program for export of live animals
4	and germplasm. Export of queen bees and packages occurs
5	under the provisions of this Official Assurances
6	Program. The final export certification must be an
7	official MAF veterinarian. The initial inspection will
8	be by trained inspectors. I have got a copy of the
9	training manual, if anyone is interested in seeing that.
10	The inspectors are trained in the requirements of the
11	AFB Pest Management Strategy, and also trained to
12	inspect hives for exotic disease, to take samples for
13	disease surveillance, methods of cleaning, disinfection
14	and disinfestation of apiculture equipment and to assist
15	MAF staff in exotic disease control. This training
16	involves written manuals, examination system and audits.
17	I don't know if you can see that very well, but the
18	surveillance in New Zealand is three levels. We've got
19	the American Foulbrood Program, which I told you about.
20	There's the control for Varroa mite, which is the North
21	Island. It's in the North and South Island, separated
22	by Cook Strait. Varroa, at this stage, is controlled to
23	the upper part of the North Island, but it is probably a
24	matter of time before it spreads throughout the North
25	Island. The control has been relatively effective at
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1 this stage, and what, in time, it is hoped that we will 2 stop Varroa from going from the North Island to the 3 South Island. The -- what I have showed you here is the exotic base of islands. There are 400 iris sites, which -- and there are 148 bee control sites. 5 6 consignments, there are 500 export consignments are 7 checked each year. There are also supplier audits. And 8 any exotic disease is, of course, picked up. 9 asterisk means -- two asterisks means that the testing 10 is done by the miticide/sticky board method, and the 11 three asterisks means that this is by a composite 12 washing method. New Zealand's official assurances 13 program and the surveillance system on which it is based 14 is built on integrity and transparency. USDA officials 15 and Hawaiian Department of Agriculture officials and 16 Hawaiian Beekeeper representatives have visited New 17 Zealand and had the opportunity to assist the New 18 Zealand system of surveillance and export certification 19 for honey bees. This table gives you -- once again, it 20 may be hard for you to see, our export statistics there. 21 Approximately half of the packages go to Canada. 22 you can see from the table the increasing importance of 23 the Asian market in queen bees. I'd like now to give 24 some specific comments on the proposed rule. Section 25 322.5(a) would require honeybees, honeybee germplasm and York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1 bees other than honeybees imported from approved regions 2 to be shipped directly from approved regions to the 3 United States. New Zealand requests the facility for 4 transit at approved airports. Due to the distance from 5 New Zealand to Continental U.S., the restrictions of 6 freight space, the desire to ship honeybees with the 7 least stress and the desire to provide premium quality 8 honeybees to the United States market, New Zealand 9 requests provision for transit, including the ability to 10 transload onto another aircraft under agreed conditions. 11 New Zealand provides quality systems for transit through Honolulu, through Korea for export to Canada. 12 copies of the quality systems, if anyone would like to 13 14 see those. The conditions in these quality systems are 15 agreed with the transit countries and the importing 16 These systems have been successful and are a country. 17 testament to the integrity of MAF and the trust such 18 integrity engenders. MAF requests similar conditions to 19 be negotiated for export of New Zealand bees to the 20 United States. Under Section 322.6, paragraph 3, 21 "The export certificate would also have to certify that 22 the bees (and the germplasm) in the shipment were produced in the exporting region and are offspring of 23 24 queens and drones or semen also produced in the exporting region." MAF requests that this condition 25 York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	applies to first generation bees only. MAF does not
2	currently allow importation of adult honeybees for
3	germplasm into New Zealand; however, this may change and
4	MAF requests the ability to export offspring or
5	germplasm from such importations provided by a second
6	generation or more. MAF believes this would satisfy any
7	concerns the USDA has re equivalent health status to New
8	Zealand born bees. There is currently high level of
9	interest among New Zealand beekeepers in importing
10	genetic material from the USDA breeding programs for
11	Varroa tolerance. MAF is pleased that the conditions in
12	this part, proposed Subpart "B," transit of restricted
13	organisms through the United States, are based on the
14	current transit requirements through Hawaii for
15	honeybees from New Zealand. This is testimony to the
16	integrity of the biosecurity applied by MAF to
17	facilitate market access. In the proposed rule, New
18	Zealand bees are regarded as restricted organisms in
19	Hawaii, as opposed to approved organisms in Continental
20	U.S.A. The conditions under which New Zealand bees can
21	transit Hawaii are the same as for New Zealand bees
22	actually entering Hawaii. And, as I said, we are not
23	requesting access of our honeybees to Hawaii. The
24	actual part of the rule says, "We would prohibit the
25	transloading of restricted organisms at any port in
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	Hawaii; in Hawaii, the restricted organisms would have
2	to remain on and depart for another destination from the
3	same aircraft on which the shipment arrived at the
4	Hawaiian port." MAF acknowledges the special status of
5	Hawaii in respect to the absence of Varroa. We
6	respectfully request that consideration be given to the
7	continuation of the current transit requirements for New
8	Zealand honeybees transshipped through Honolulu through
9	Canada, which allows transloading under strict
10	conditions. We suggest that in addition to the current
11	conditions, the following are added to add further
12	assurances of protection. That the shipments must
13	transit Hawaii at night, when the honeybees are less
14	active. This is for the honeybees and the packages and
15	also, of course, for the honeybees that would not be
16	active at that time in coming to the airport. At the
17	moment, that is desired, but not mandatory. And all
18	shipments must contain the Apistan strips. This is a
19	requirement, a current requirement. Page 53858 or the
20	proposed rule requests information of potential import
21	volumes. The likely volume of any live been exports to
22	the Continental U. S. is difficult to estimate, as it
23	would depend on the demand for New Zealand bees from U.
24	S. beekeepers and the available supply from New Zealand
25	live bee exporters. However, some estimates can be made
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

from industry statistics and existing export volumes to other markets. New Zealand apiarists in the northern regions of the North Island of New Zealand have suffered considerable losses from the affects of the Varroa infestation in a similar manner to the Continental U. S. Beekeepers in the late 1980's. Queen breeders are experiencing increased demand for queens and live bees as beekeepers replace losses and adjust to the more intensive hive management required under Varroa. is likely to limit the ability of the industry to produce surplus queens and package bees in the short to The major market to the United States is medium term. predicted to be queen bees. This trade is likely to be smaller than the Canadian trade, as the existence of a large domestic queen and package been industry and a more favorable climate for bee production in the Southern United States leads to a smaller window of opportunity. MAF predicts the volume of trade in queen bees in the near future to the United States will be somewhere in the order of 2,000 to 4,000 queens per year, and that the trade in package bees is not expected to exceed 3,000 per year. Page 53858 in the proposed rule states, "The fees that the Australian, New Zealand and Canadian governments charge their bee producers for the certificates are small to help allow the honeybee York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 export prices to be competitive with foreign prices. 2 request information on potential costs associated with 3 issuing health certificates for bees. The New Zealand 4 government does not subsidize honeybee exporters in any 5 The International Animal Trade Section of MAF by 6 security is responsible for export certification of 7 honeybees and honeybee germplasm. This section foresees 8 no government funding for export activities. All such 9 costs are recovered from the exporters. I've given you 10 the breakdown of the charges. The New Zealand Islands 105 plus goods and services tax equates to around 50 U. 11 12 S. dollars. But the export certification, two 13 certificates are required for export to Canada. 14 for the transshipping and the other is for entry into 15 So, in summary MAF agrees with the conclusions Canada. 16 of the risk analysis, that the importers of adult 17 honeybees and honeybee germplasm from New Zealand will 18 present inimitable risk of introducing exotic bee 19 diseases or pests or undesirable species or subspecies 20 of honeybees into the United States. MAF believes 21 allowing New Zealand Beekeep -- U. S. beekeepers to 22 access New Zealand honeybees and germplasm will be of 23 benefit to both countries. Thank you. 24 MR. KELLY: Thank you very much for your 25 The next speaker will be Garnett Puett of comments. York Stenographic Services, Inc. 34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1 Captain Cook Honey.

2 Okay, I'm Garnett Puett. MR. PUETT: My name 3 is spelled G-a-r-n-e-t-t, Puett, P-u-e-t-t. And I'm 4 here for Captain Cook Honey. I'm the President of 5 Captain Cook Honey. I've been keeping bees in Hawaii 6 for approximately 30 years. We are the largest honey 7 producer in the state, and the largest certified organic producer in the country, U. S. Our operation is disease 8 9 free as well as mite free, relatively. No mites, some 10 American foulbrood. This is one of the only places on 11 earth that can say this, and we'd like to protect that. 12 If we open up trade to the U. S., eventually, it will 13 come here. It is also the best place in the world to 14 breed and to select the healthiest strains of bees that I know of. It would be simply unsafe to allow any bees 15 16 from anywhere into Hawaii at this time. Once they're on 17 the mainland, they will eventually get here. As shown 18 on the Mainland, mite-free certificates, sometimes, many 19 times, mean nothing. There is no way to catch every 20 contaminated hive. My family lost 4,000 hives that were 21 stacked and fumigated in the early 80's, and all that 22 did was kill the bees. The mites were everywhere. And 23 I am dependent on organic certification at this time 24 because of the economic period we're facing, even though 25 this has changed because of a rule that came down York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1 yesterday and changed all that for everybody. 2 means that I cannot use any chemicals at all, feeds, 3 Nekstrand [ph], Humidel [ph], any kind of mite chemicals 4 on my bees at all during any part of the year. not allowed by the rule. We have selectively developed 5 6 strong disease resistant bees that is a great honey 7 producer, and we can't afford to allow foreign bees to 8 weaken this in any way. One mite is enough to wipe out 9 all the bees here, in my opinion. We have, in Hawaii, 10 have seen hundreds of foreign species come in that destroy many different parts of the ecosystems. 11 12 got to stop somewhere. At this time, in my professional 13 opinion, and I'm a fourth generation beekeeper, and the 14 largest beekeeper in the Hawaii, the U. S. cannot, 15 should not allow anyone, at least at this point, to 16 destroy some of the cleanest breeding grounds in the 17 world for bees. And that's basically what I want to 18 comment. 19 Thank you very much. MR. KELLY: And okav. 20 our next speakers, in order, will be Gus Rouse, followed 21 by Pam Brashear, followed by Michael Krones. 22 that, we will have two more speakers. Larry Nakahara 23 and Frederic Colombo. Anyway, Gus Rouse, would you care 24 to come up? 25 All right, my name is Gus MR. ROUSE: Okay.

York Stenographic Services, Inc.

34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1 G-u-s R-o-u-s-e. I -- I'm owner/operator of 2 Kona Queen Hawaii. We specifically breed queens for 3 world-wide market. I quess I'll do like everyone else and read my statement. As a beekeeper since 1974, a 4 5 person involved in national beekeeping organizations and 6 long involved with international issues surrounding 7 bees, I felt compelled to make this comment and be at 8 this Hearing and, actually, by mail as well. The NAFTA 9 Agreement and the GATT Agreement -- you don't mind if I 10 -- contain provisions. These agreements contain 11 provisions establishing the rights and the obligations 12 of signatory countries concerning the sanitary and 13 phytosanitary regulations. These measures are generally 14 defined as governmental measures intended to protect 15 human, animal plant life and health. We are told the 16 NAFTA and The World Trade Organization, WTO, apply these provisions based on scientific evidence. We must know 17 18 that these rules were made to protect the importing 19 countries, as the exporter shares no risk. 20 agrees -- or excuse me, the WTO Agreement requires a 21 risk assessment to evaluate the likelihood of injury and 22 spread of pests or disease into an importing country. 23 The WTO Agreement further provides that countries may 24 deviate from international standards, guidelines and 25 recommendations if their risk assessment demonstrates York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	that additional measures are necessary to provide
2	protection against pest introduction. This being stated
3	from the "Federal Register," I would like to raise the
4	following points regarding importing bees from
5	Australia, Canada and New Zealand. Am I too loud, or is
6	that okay? First and foremost, all the bees shipped
7	from New Zealand to Canada were certified Varroa mite
8	free before the mite was detected. As one gentleman
9	stated here earlier, the reality versus the what the
10	certification process allows can cause problems. When
11	the hives were inspected more closely, it was found that
12	the infestation had been widespread and established
13	during previous inspections. One can see that the
14	actual success versus the perceived success of
15	certifications such as these is a problem. Simply look
16	to the diseases and the parasites introduced to the
17	United States during the last 20 years while the borders
18	were closed. Paragraph 322.2 explains that the
19	regulations are designed to prevent the introduction of
20	bee diseases and parasites into the U. S. The proposal
21	offers well, I felt the proposal offered little
22	protection for Hawaii. In fact, it proposed it
23	proposes imports that would be in direct violation of
24	the rules and intent stated above. The lady from MAF
25	said that they have no intention of importing bees into
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	the state, even though that wash't my that wash't my
2	understanding when I read the proposed rule change.
3	Hawaii is still able to produce queens, bees, honey and
4	wax that have not been subjected to mites and miticides.
5	I feel it definitely be a risk if the WTO rules state
6	that countries can deviate from the regulations to
7	protect themselves, then this would be a good time to do
8	it. Hawaii should remain closed to all live been
9	imports, period. If APHIS prohibits intrastate movement
10	of bees to Hawaii, they should surely prohibit
11	international movement of bees to Hawaii. The risk
12	assessment somehow overlooked the main focal point of
13	the likelihood of introduction of diseases and pests.
14	It is not likely, it's assured. The recommended
15	procedure for inspections cannot be followed and will
16	not work. This calls for individually inspected hives
17	by an official of the regulatory agency no more than ten
18	days prior to export. The export certificate would
19	identify all diseases, parasites and species of
20	honeybees found in the hive during the pre-export
21	inspection. With all diseases and pests listed on the
22	export certificate, the importing country would refuse
23	any shipment if unacceptable pests were listed. This is
24	how I read the statement. Does this document propose
25	that a shipper would send thousands of dollars of
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

product around the world knowing that before it leaves its home port that the shipment will remain refused? And I think had -- maybe it would help you understand what I said. If you're going to list all the pests on your certificate, you wouldn't ship them in the first It seems like a flawed rule here. possible during the shipping season that there will be sufficient numbers of inspectors to: 1) individually inspect the hives of all shippers within ten days of shipment, inspect shipment on the day of shipment, certify that all the bees in the shipment come from the hives within a 31-mile zone free of tracheal and Varroa mites? Are African bees certified that shipment was derived exclusively from an apiary situated in the center of the zone, and 3.1 miles in which no case of tracheal mite has been reported for at least eight It's not likely. It's not likely that these things, in reality, could happen. If this is all to take place constantly within ten-day periods during the shipping season? No one who has ever been in the commercial bee business or ever been involved with government inspections, can, in reality, see this happening. Furthermore, bee inspections, on a given day, are not a reliable indicator of the diseases that may harbor in a hive or in a country. A hive that shows York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	no American foulbrood excuse me. A hive that shows
2	American foulbrood may not have shown it ten days prior.
3	Varroa mites are usually in the hive three years before
4	detection. The end result, in my opinion, would be more
5	new introductions into the United States and Hawaii.
6	These inspections will not be made on time. Mistakes
7	will happen, and our risk assessment goes down the
8	drain. The State of Hawaii did extensive inspections
9	over a decade and found none of the pests documented.
10	When the USDA deemed that these inspections did not
11	provide enough scientific evidence, our state spent two
12	more years to provide APHIS with scientific data it
13	needed to protect our borders. I think this proposal
14	illegally ignores this information. The proposal has
15	been on the table before. Comments were taken and many
16	were disqualified because they were based on monetary
17	gains or losses. I believe the entire rule change is
18	based on monetary gains, that of those for the
19	exporters. This is not driven by demand, but it is
20	driven by the New Zealand and Australian government and
21	their constant pressure to increase the exports of all
22	kinds. There is a demand by commercial beekeepers in
23	Australia for queens from Hawaii. Australia's
24	government's response to inquiries regarding this issue
25	is a false policy stating that their borders are already York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1 And this simply is not true. They are not open. 2 In a similar, one-sided trading, New Zealand's representative for the Minister of Agriculture, who 3 4 wasn't Helen, but there was a gentleman several years 5 ago in Honolulu, was asked about Hawaiian queens being 6 shipped to his country. He simply stated his beekeepers 7 would not allow it. End of the discussion. I feel that 8 before APHIS or the WTO move ahead with any proposal 9 such as this, a system for Hawaii exporting bees to both 10 Australia and New Zealand should be looked at. 11 current proposal calls for importation of bees from 12 Australia, New Zealand and Canada. It states that there 13 are not sufficient quantities of queens, as Helen said. 14 There are not sufficient quantities of queens and bees 15 available in the early spring to replenish winter losses 16 for spring pollination. I don't think this is true 17 either. Many queen breeders have had unsold inventory 18 in the spring due to low hive counts caused by mites and 19 low honey prices. U. S. hive counts are said to have 20 decreased by nearly a million in the last ten years as a 21 result of the Varroa mites and other pests. 22 beekeepers will tell you that this downward trend is 23 also propelled by the price of honey being at 1975 24 levels. Hive counts are down because beekeepers have 25 been forced out of business. Because of anti-dumping York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1 measures and recent contaminated honey from China being 2 rejected. honey prices have doubled, and in some cases 3 Hive numbers will bounce back as long as these tripled. 4 honey prices stay above the break-even scenario. 5 proposal also calls for the ban of honeybee germplasm 6 and bee products to Hawaii. The importation of live 7 bees, I do feel must be prohibited, but the importation 8 of semen for stock improvement is very necessary. 9 disease resistant traits are discovered or developed, 10 this is our vehicle for including these traits in the 11 bees produced in Hawaii. It's a rare thing to b able to 12 breed bees resistant to diseases in an area where they do not exist, but it is possible, and we are doing that. 13 14 Also, the tropics are known for pollen shortages, so I'd like to see the doors left open for certified pollen 15 being imported to the U. S. as supplemental bee feed. 16 17 Excuse me, being imported into Hawaii. Stricter rules 18 should already be in place for the transshipments and 19 I'm glad to see what the rule proposes for transshipment 20 through Hawaii. I feel the presence of Varroa in New 21 Zealand puts this state in as New Zealand was just a few years ago, and Australia still is, Hawaii, we're just 22 23 some of the last remaining places without this dreaded 24 parasite. And I feel that these transshipments 25 These disease and pest definitely cause risk. York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	introductions cannot be reversed. If the signatory
2	countries want this type of non-market driven trade to
3	take place, then I believe all signatory countries must
4	risk the imports. The 14.6 billion dollar benefit that
5	U. S. bees provide to agriculture should be protected.
6	This rule changes is more likely to negatively affect
7	than protect it. Finally, these proposed new rule
8	changes can clear the way for many more countries. As
9	you stated, there are some others lined up and many more
10	diseases. The proposed proposal suggest that the U.
11	S., already riddled with pests and disease introductions
12	while its borders were closed, will benefit by opening
13	them. This proposal suggests that inspections and
14	certifications that have failed in the past will work
15	this time. I ask APHIS to put the protection of human,
16	animal and plant life, as directed by law, above the
17	pressures of international trade. That's the main part
18	of my testimony. And am I allowed to comment a little
19	further than what I submitted, being I've gathered a
20	little more information? I just also wanted to make a
21	few comments and please please don't take any of
22	these personally. I've never tried to get in the way of
23	New Zealand, Australia, anybody. I've never tried to
24	get in or prohibit or hinder the business of my fellow
25	beekeepers in the Southern Hemisphere, but these
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	proposals and New Zealand and Australia, constant push
2	to enter the U. S. market, has caused me quite some
3	concern. One of the things is that what's been
4	discovered, and I guess by their own scientists, is that
5	in New Zealand we have what we call the Varroa
6	Destructor. I believe, isn't this a different strain
7	than the U. S. strain?
8	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No, it's the same as
9	the U. S. strain.
10	MR. ROUSE: Same as the U. S. strain. Okay.
11	Well, you clarified that. I'm reading in the "New
12	Zealand Journal" that a chalkbrood, by Dr. Anderson, who
13	I believe maybe is from Australia, but he suggested that
14	the maybe thing had they had developed well, let
15	me back up a little bit. In the risk report, they say
16	they have chalkbrood, we have chalkbrood. In their own
17	publications they have, they say we have chalkbrood and
18	we're fearful that we're developing a more virulent
19	strain of chalkbrood. So this would be a problem. And
20	the same with half-moon disease. It's a problem, from
21	what I've read recently, possibly a queen problem, not a
22	bacterial problem. Some of these things, like when the
23	tracheal mites were in Mexico, Dr. Baily from England
24	said that this is not a problem. Don't even worry about
25	them. Not a problem. Well, we now know that tracheal
	York Stenographic Services Inc

l	mite can go more northern climates, became a very
2	serious problem. So I think there are other things.
3	There are the Mellitifous [ph] mites, some things that I
4	don't know too much about, but I understand that they
5	would warrant some further examination. I guess the
6	thrust of my comment here is that the certification
7	process, as proposed, seems to have plenty of rules in
8	it, and I think there are some very weak points in any
9	certification process, and I think the most perfect
10	example is the fact of the of the April 11, 2000
11	Varroa discovery because the integrity of those
12	inspections, as we were told, I believe there may be
13	probably 8,000 hives that no one had been aware of, or
14	no one had inspected. And anyway, I will end my
15	comments there. Thank you for your time.
16	MR. KELLY: Thank you very much for your
17	comments, Mr. Rouse. Our next speaker will be Pam
18	Brashear.
19	MS. BRASHEAR: Thank you. Good morning. My
20	name is Pam Brashear. I'm representing myself and my
21	husband, owners of Big Island Queens.
22	MR. KELLY: Would you mind spelling your last
23	name, please?
24	MS. BRASHEAR: B-r-a-s-h-e-a-r. My husband,
25	Randle Brashear, and I are the founders and proprietors
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1 of Big Island Queens, a honeybee queen rearing business 2 in operation here since 1992. We believe that parasitic 3 mites will be introduced to Hawaiian honeybee 4 populations as a direct result of the proposed rule changes, Docket 98-109-1, "Bees and Related Articles 5 6 Proposed Rules," devastating Hawaii's beekeeping 7 industry and destroying forever a uniquely valuable 8 asset to world beekeeping. Hawaiian gueen rearing 9 operations are providing healthy stock to America and 10 the world because our bees' reproductive capabilities 11 are not damaged by parasitic mites or miticides, and due 12 to our favorable year-round conditions for queen 13 rearing. That uniquely beneficial situation will 14 certainly be lost sooner or later if these rule changes are adopted. Given the known history of accidental 15 16 introductions of alien species in Hawaii, and the nature of these proposed rule changes, introduction of 17 18 parasitic mites will become highly probable. We are 19 already in danger of introduction occurring as a result 20 of the transshipments now being allowed and exempted 21 from existing rules. Please note that State of Hawaii 22 apiary inspectors have searched for the presence of 23 acarine and Varroa mites every year consecutively sine 24 1993. Our state apiary inspector, Dr. Thomas Culliney, 25 recently finished and submitted to APHIS a particularly York Stenographic Services, Inc.

exhaustive series of inspections in response to the probability of mite introduction as a result of these rule changes. A long term parasitic mite infestation has been found on honeybees in New Zealand. The USDA states that interstate shipments of live bees to Hawaii should remain prohibited. By what logic, then, should international shipments of bees from locations known to harbor mites be allowed in Hawaii? Why will New Zealand's government representatives not even consider the possibilities of exportations of bees from Hawaii to New Zealand? Yet they ask us to allow importation of honeybees from their country, which harbors known Varroa infestations through our islands, which have been proven free of mites. The proposed rule changes contain a proposal to ban honeybee germplasm coming into Hawaii, along with interstate shipment of live bees. Live bees should not be imported into Hawaii from anywhere, but germplasm is not a mite introduction risk. The Hawaiian honeybee gueen rearing industry has been importing germplasm for many years without harm. We are using this tool to produce resistant stock from survivor gene pools on the mainland, further enhancing Hawaii's unique contribution to commercial beekeeping around the world. If that tool is now denied us, then years of work on breeding programs and research will be wasted, all to York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the detriment of the world's beekeeping industry. United States of America has obvious legitimate and compelling reasons not to adopt these rule changes. We urge you not to adopt the rule changes. If the rule changes should be adopted, then who will be willing to accept responsibility for the results of the introduction of parasitic mites to Hawaii and the ensuing destruction resulting from that introduction. Thank you.

MR. KELLY: Thank you for coming today and sharing your comments with us. Our next speaker will be Michael Krones of Hawaiian Bee Company.

MR. KRONES: Good morning. Good morning to everybody. My name is -- actually, it's spelled

Michael, last name Krones, K-r-o-n-e-s. As a member of the beekeeping community in Hawaii, I'm asking APHIS-USDA, the scientific community and environmental leaders involved in this proposal to not allow any live bees into the State of Hawaii. I ask that you respect the 1985 established ban of all importations of live bees and foreign introduced species into Hawaii. Allowing the importation or transshipments into our through Hawaii of foreign bees with the potential for carrying parasites like the Varroa mite and tracheal mite would terminate the disease-free status that we enjoy. Hawaii York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1 is presently the only state in the U.S. of A. that is 2 disease free, and, therefore, the only state that 3 produces certified organic honey. If we are invaded by 4 the Varroa mite, the use of miticides will mean the end 5 of American organic honey. Opening the door to the importation of live bees into Hawaii would also truncate 6 7 the ongoing research and genetic improvements with 8 disease-resistant traits presently being carried out 9 within our local bee populations by established and 10 world-renowned queen breeders. These genetic 11 improvements with selected germplasm resistant to these 12 above mentioned pests will allow the bee industry in the 13 Continental U. S. to reduce the chemical dependence 14 presently needed to control the Varroa and tracheal 15 mites. One of these traits is the suppressed mite 16 reproduction, SMR, which is of paramount importance in 17 controlling Varroa. Opening Hawaii's borders could also 18 open the door to the unintentional introduction of the 19 Africanized honeybee. The Africanized bee would 20 flourish in our tropical environment and easily spread 21 to all the islands, having a disastrous collateral 22 impact on our tourist industry. The AHB already exists 23 in the Continental U.S. Eradication efforts have 24 It has been proven that once this pest is failed. established it is impossible to eradicate. And accounts 25 York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	of the tale that Argentina, who has the Africanized bee,
2	is also trying to apply for a permit. Some comments on
3	some of the subparts, and actually Subpart "D" that
4	relates to transit of restricted organisms through the
5	United States, and this is under Section 322.25 of the
6	General Requirements. Want is a restricted organism, to
7	me, the moment that New Zealand has Varroa,
8	unfortunately, no matter inspections might say, will
9	fall into, my opinion, of the restricted organism. New
10	Zealand will be exploiting, so to speak, restricted
11	organism with Varroa. And there's no way U.S. can
12	control anything with Varroa. Varroa is very, very
13	lethal. In "C," it is stated that the
14	importing/exporting country may not transload the
15	restricted organisms in Hawaii. Once the shipment has
16	arrived in Hawaii, the restricted organisms must remain
17	on, and depart from the same aircraft on which the
18	shipment arrived. Indeed, if adult bees from approved
19	regions may not enter Hawaii because of the presence of
20	Varroa mites, tracheal mites or African honeybee, those
21	bees may transit Hawaii en route to another state or
22	territory of the United States only if the shipment of
23	bees meets the requirements of the Subpart, et cetera,
24	et cetera, et cetera. As a beekeeper, I will play the
25	devil's advocate. And the question is what if one of
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1 these aircraft carrying live packages with millions of 2 live bees in the cargo hold suffers a malfunction, 3 crashes, and the well intended, properly inspected, 4 contained packages gets dispersed? It takes only one 5 bee, carrying only one undetected mite, to introduce 6 this feared pest into the islands. I mean these things 7 will happen. Mechanical failure will happen. 8 Pandora's Box. You should see the pictures. 9 probably have seen the pictures of those contained 10 packages flying through from New Zealand or from 11 Australia into Canada. Mistakes will happen. 12 Section 3322.29, Inspection and Handling. Paragraph 13 If the adult bees cannot be transloaded 14 immediately to the subsequent flight, you must store 15 them within a completely enclosed building. Adult bees 16 may not be transloaded from an aircraft ground 17 transportation for subsequent movement through he United 18 States. Okay, imagine yourself. This airplane has 19 arrived in Hawaii. It's on transshipment. It's not 20 supposed to do any transshipment. Well, the plane, it 21 is broken down. You guys need to transship this stuff. 22 We will do it at night. Good question. Our airports do 23 not have, at this moment, as far as I know, an 24 operational procedural safeguard to prevent this type of 25 restricted organism. Nets rip, mistakes happen, York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	forklifts lose their cargo. They do not have a safe,
2	completely enclosed building that could store thousands
3	of packages in transit for another destination.
4	Mistakes happen. I will remind you, it takes only one
5	bee, one free bee, with one Varroa mite on its back to
6	introduce the pest to the islands. Simple as that. And
7	now suppose a transporting aircraft is becomes
8	disabled and needs excessive repairs? Suppose it cannot
9	fly on with its cargo? How would the bees be
10	transported to another plane without using ground
11	transportation? Is the exporter willing to forego the
12	shipment and be cast off into safety concerns? I doubt
13	it. There's a lot of money involved, right? In
14	summary, the Continental United States, New Zealand and
15	Canada are all known to have the Varroa mite. Hawaii
16	has a delicate ecosystem and remains free of the Varroa
17	mite. There is far too much at stake to even consider
18	allowing bees into our state. Provisions allowing the
19	introduction into or through Hawaii need to be excluded
20	under this proposal. Hawaii needs to be protected.
21	Thank you for your consideration.
22	MR. KELLY: Thank you very much for coming
23	today, Mr. Krones. We have two more registered
24	speakers. Larry Nakahara and Frederic Colombo. Is
25	there anyone besides those two who didn't register but
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	would like to speak after they speak? I'm just trying
2	to get an idea of how much time we have. Looks like
3	that's a no. Thank you. Mr. Nakahara, would you come
4	up?
5	MR. NAKAHARA: Good morning. My name is Larry
6	Nakahara, L-a-r-r-y N-a-k-a-h-a-r-a. And I'm the
7	Manager of the Plant Test Control Branch in the Hawaii
8	Department of Agriculture. This morning I'll be
9	speaking on Docket No. 98-109-1, and I'll be reading
10	from a testimony that's prepared by James Nakatani, who
11	is Chairperson of our Department of Agriculture, the
12	Hawaii Department of Agriculture. On August 19, 2002,
13	the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service (APHIS)
14	of the United States Department of Agriculture,
15	published a proposed rule amending the Honeybee Act to
16	allow honeybees from Australia and honeybees and
17	honeybee germplasm from New Zealand into the United
18	States and prohibit the interstate movement of honeybees
19	into Hawaii. This would allow the import of honeybees
20	from Australia and New Zealand into Hawaii. We strongly
21	urge APHIS to reconsider this proposed rule as it could
22	have a serious impact on Hawaii's agricultural economy
23	as well as native bee fauna. We agree that the
24	interstate movement of honeybees into Hawaii should be
25	prohibited because of the establishment of the Varroa
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	mite and tracheal mite in the Continental U.S.
2	Likewise, honeybees should be prohibited from entering
3	Hawaii from Canada and New Zealand because of the
4	presence of the Varroa mite in those countries. While
5	Australia may be free of the Varroa mite, we feel that a
6	comprehensive Varroa mite survey needs to be conducted
7	by government officials before a determination can be
8	made as to its presence or absence in that country. We
9	agree that there should be no off-loading of honeybees
10	in Hawaii from aircraft originating from New Zealand
11	during transshipments to other countries. In that
12	respect, this prohibition should also apply to Australia
13	until a comprehensive Varroa mite survey concludes its
14	absence in that country. APHIS's March, 2002 Risk
15	Assessment Importation of Adult Queens, Package Bees and
16	Germplasm of Honeybees, Apis mellifera from New Zealand,
17	discusses the importance of the Varroa mite in
18	international trade and the need to treat Hawaii
19	differently from other states when regulating the
20	movement of honeybees in commerce. According to this
21	risk assessment, the Varroa mite is considered a "pest
22	of international importance relative to the movement of
23	honeybees." The risk assessment goes on to state that
24	the "Hawaii Department of Agriculture has satisfactorily
25	demonstrated that all the Hawaiian Islands are free from
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1 Therefore, Hawaii must be given special Varroa mite. 2 consideration separate from that for the contiguous 48 3 states." Varroa is considered the worst scourge of 4 honeybee colonies worldwide. It is an external, blood-5 sucking parasite that attacks primarily the immature 6 stages of bees. Death often ensues. If the victim 7 manages to survive, the resulting adult is usually 8 deformed in some way and incapable of contributing to 9 colony welfare. Colony population size dwindles over 10 time, and a heavy infestation can kill a colony within 11 two years. To combat the parasite, beekeepers must 12 resort to the use of expensive pesticides. However, 13 wild bee colonies have no defense. They have been 14 reported to be dying out on the U.S. mainland, where the 15 Varroa mite is well established. If that is true, the 16 steady decline in numbers of such an important 17 pollinator could have serious consequences for 18 agriculture in the United States, including Hawaii, that 19 is dependent on wild bees for pollination, if it should 20 become established in our islands. For many years, 21 Hawaii has had a prohibition on all dead or live honeybees entering the State. The Varroa has never been 22 23 The mite has never been known to occur in the islands. 24 found by this department after many years of regular 25 inspection of commercial apiaries and the occasional York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1 examination of hobbyists' hives. However, no 2 statistically valid, comprehensive survey has ever been 3 taken to establish the absence of Varroa mite in Hawaii. And to that end, a statewide survey for Varroa and other 4 5 parasitic bee mites was conducted during the years 2000 6 In samples from 837 randomly selected 7 colonies in 138 apiaries on four islands (Kauai, Oahu, 8 Maui and Hawaii) not a single mite was found. 9 Similarly, Australia needs to conduct a comprehensive 10 survey of its apiaries before APHIS can make their 11 determination that the country is free of the Varroa 12 mite. APHIS's March , 2002 Pest Risk Assessment from 13 Australia on honeybees states that under current laws, 14 "Beekeepers are required to notify relevant state 15 government authorities of notifiable diseases." Varroa 16 mite is a notifiable disease in Australia. And while it 17 is reasonable to assess the presence of the Varroa mite 18 on the reporting of this disease by beekeepers, it 19 should not be used as the basis for assessing the 20 absence of this disease. Absence of the Varroa mite 21 should be based on comprehensive surveys conducted by 22 government officials at least similar in scope to that 23 done in Hawaii. This is especially so since Australia 24 has no prohibition on the importation of honeybees from 25 areas where the Varroa mite occurs, and the Varroa mite York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1 occurs in nearby Papua New Guinea. According to the 2 above risk assessment, an import permit can be issued by 3 the Manager of the Animal Programs Section of the 4 Australian Quarantine Inspection Service for queen bees 5 and their escorts from countries where Varroa mite 6 occurs with a pre-export inspection and specific import 7 conditions. The March, 2002 risk assessment on 8 honeybees from New Zealand, however, clearly illustrates 9 how difficult a pre-export inspection can be. 10 "Detection is often difficult; populations build for 11 several years before being detected. This was 12 demonstrated with the widespread distribution of Varroa 13 destructor in New Zealand at the time it was first 14 discovered on the North Island." APHIS is satisfied 15 with assurances from New Zealand and Australia that all 16 shipments will be inspected and certified as Varroa mite 17 free. However, the rapid spread of Varroa across the 18 globe during the last 50 years attests to the ease with 19 which this destructive parasite can thwart zoosanitary 20 measures and circumvent quarantines. The health of 21 Hawaii's honeybee population, one of the last on earth 22 that is free of parasitic mites, clearly would be at 23 risk should colonies of New Zealand and Australian bees 24 be allowed into the state. Similarly, the transshipment 25 of honeybees through Hawaii from New Zealand or York Stenographic Services, Inc.

Australia would put this state at risk. The proposed rule, it is our understanding, that the proposed rule would not allow the transloading of packaged honeybees or queens in Hawaii from an approved area if there is presence of Varroa mite, tracheal mite or African honeybee from these countries. WE agree with this proposal. Currently, an informal training protocol that was developed between New Zealand and Hawaii whereby each package of bees included a fluvalinate or Apistan strip to destroy any Varroa mite, and the packages were secured under double netting to prevent any escapes if the packages were compromised while in Hawaii. just want to make a comment that you -- we appreciate working with our New Zealand counterparts on this protocol because it was above and beyond what was required under the old or the present rules. Now, this protocol was agreed to under the existing honeybee regulations which allowed the transiting of honeybees It is clearly not in the best through the islands. interest of the State for this activity to continue now that the Varroa mite has been found in New Zealand and no comprehensive survey for the Varroa mite has been undertaken in Australia. Honeybees are an important element of the economy in Hawaii, contributing to millions of dollars to the State's economy. They are York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	important pollinators of Hawaii's diverse crops, and
2	queen bee breeding and honey production are profitable
3	industries. Hawaii's lack of serious bee pests like the
4	Varroa mite is an excellent point for the State's queen
5	breeders, ensuring a superior product that is sought by
6	customers all over the world, including the rest of the
7	U.S. Introduction and establishment of a pest like the
8	Varroa mite would devastate the bee industry in Hawaii
9	and likely threaten the State's agriculture, which
10	relies almost entirely on pollination from wild
11	honeybees. Everything possible should be done to
12	protect Hawaii's honeybees and native bee fauna from
13	exotic biohazards like the Varroa mite. Thank you.
14	MR. KELLY: Thank you very much for your
15	comments.
16	MR. NAKAHARA: And I think I gave you a couple
17	copies.
18	MR. KELLY: Yes, we have your written copies.
19	One more scheduled speaker, and then we will allow some
20	time for questions, if there are any. In fact, Wayne,
21	after the final speaker, I'll give you the opportunity,
22	if you want to clarify anything that you heard today or
23	any questions that were raised from the regulation, if
24	you think you have anything that could give an
25	understanding, I'll give you a chance to talk a little
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

2 today is Mr. Frederic Colombo. 3 MR. COLOMBO: My name is Frederic Colombo. 4 F-r-e-d-e-r-i-c. Colombo, C-o-l-o-m-b-o. I'm a French 5 beekeeper, and I just want to bring over testimony, so I 6 write down something. Having a passion for traveling 7 and beekeeping for more than ten years now, it led me to 8 work in different bee business in different countries 9 such as France, Switzerland, Canada, New Zealand and 10 Australia. Using or producing queens and packages, let 11 me tell you what I know about the risks involved in 12 buying bees from different parts of the world. 13 northern beekeeper from a cold temperate climate, what 14 such a delight to get early spring queens or buy a 15 different bee genetic material. But this is the emerged 16 part of the iceberg, while the biggest danger is 17 underwater. The first obvious one is the spread of 18 mites and disease (American foulbrood, tracheal mites, 19 Nosema and Chalk Brood) because as the sanitary controls 20 are, and business taking over good beekeeping practices, 21 how easy it is after a phone call to get an export 22 certificate for live bees. I have personally worked at 23 a bee business in New Zealand that couldn't fill in 24 orders to Canada, buy queens from other beekeepers whose 25 bees were not sanitary inspected. And back against the York Stenographic Services, Inc.

bit about that. So our final scheduled speaker for

1

wall we had we had African trouble in our hives, which 1 2 didn't stop us to have this export certificate even so we didn't correct the problem by destroying the infected 3 4 hives with Varroa from New Zealand, and worst, taking 5 the risk to use these bees for attendants or packages. 6 In Australia, it is the same thing to get the sanitary 7 certificate. A phone call to your buddy who may not 8 even come and check the bees out. Where I was working I 9 have never seen so much chalk brood, weekend hives and 10 so spread out that anyway the owner couldn't ignore it. 11 Apparently the poor hygienic behavior of bees was the 12 cause, but they send them over, say they will take care 13 of it for next season. The reality, even if you have 14 the facilities quarantine at the airport, they stopped 15 bees being smuggled into Australia by one of the largest 16 queen breeders who was returning from travel in Europe. 17 Even so, they endangered their mite-free paradise. Is 18 it still mite free? I won't talk about bees that were 19 regularly smuggled in Switzerland or use of forbidden 20 medication, but what about the bees imported from 21 Southern California or Texas to Europe, when you know about the Africanized bees? Is the mad cow disease or 22 23 the massive spread of agricultural contaminated with 24 antibiotics, by example shouldn't moderate some business 25 practices. Be aware of what the economic pressure can York Stenographic Services, Inc.

2 rules are and what really happens. Thanks for listening. 3 4 MR. KELLY: Thank you very much, Mr. Colombo. 5 I'm going to give the microphone to Wayne in just a 6 moment. Before I do that, I just wanted to mention one 7 thing that may not have occurred to everyone. As we 8 accept comments on this proposed rule, you're encouraged 9 not only to comment on directly what you see in the 10 rule, but if you heard anything today or see anything in 11 this transcript when it's published on our website to 12 which you want to either amplify or rebut or address 13 with more data, please feel free to submit comments that 14 address not just what we've published in the rule, but 15 what you see in submissions from other commenters. 16 only does that expand the scope of the rule to all the 17 relevant issues, but it may help us with our analysis of 18 comments when we have to look at the whole universe of 19 the comments we receive. Now let me see if Wayne has 20 anything to add. 21 No, I have no concluding remarks MR. WEHLING: 22 or follow-up comments. I appreciate all of you coming 23 out today and I very much appreciate what you had to 24 say. Clearly, my introductory comments were relatively 25 elementary. You have a very firm and solid York Stenographic Services, Inc.

push you to do, and the difference between what the

1

1 understanding of these proposed rule changes. 2 very impressed with that. One change that was -- one 3 comment that was made today that took me by surprise was 4 Helen's comment about New Zealand not having an interest 5 in a market share in Hawaii. That's a complete turn table from what I understand -- understood the situation 6 7 to be, so I'm very intrigued with that comment. But, 8 otherwise, I have no comments, no further comments. And 9 if you have any comments, questions for me, please, I 10 think this is the time to bring that up. 11 MR. KELLY: Yes. I'd just ask if you have a 12 question, I know it's inconvenient, but if you wouldn't 13 mind coming up to the microphone because then it gets 14 captured the record. But, please, if there are any 15 questions or clarifications you want, now is the time. 16 Thank you. You have some comments? 17 I'd like to clarify the situation DR. BEBAN: 18 as I know it as far as importation of genetic material 19 and queen bee genetic material into New Zealand. 20 haven't got a long association with MEF. I've been in 21 MEF only eight months. So the history of many people 22 here, such as Gus, would know better than me. 23 during my time in MEF, one of my assignments has been to 24 oversee the development of a risk assessment for 25 honeybee and genetic material into New Zealand. So that York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	is something that I'm working on, honeybee genetic
2	material coming into New Zealand, and that is under
3	development. At the moment, the draft has been written.
4	It has been given to experts, including United States
5	experts. And when that has been changed according to
6	the expert opinions, it will be available for public
7	submission. And, of course, any of you here are able to
8	do that as well. And that will be on the MEF website.
9	I can give you that website and you'll be very welcome
10	to check that. Unfortunately, we weren't you won't
11	be getting individual notification, but I could give
12	that to a representative here when it is released so
13	that you will know to check that site, so you can tell
14	me who is the most appropriate person to make note, and
15	then you could read that, and give any comments to MEF.
16	And all comments are taken into account, and a copy of
17	the submissions, all the submissions, are given back to
18	the submitters.
19	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Why is the fixation
20	upon the transiting of bees in flight? You have
21	aircraft running through the field. Why do you have to
22	come through Hawaii to transit the bees?
23	DR. BEBAN: I'm told that the facilities here,
24	and the way that the process works here, is excellent,
25	that is one reason. But it's difficult to get shipping
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.

1	MR. WEHLING: If you have the New Zealand risk
2	assessment, it's referenced on the back of that.
3	DR. BEBAN: Oh, good. Okay. Thanks.
4	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: The packages or the
5	queens
6	DR. BEBAN: Yes, there are. I don't the
7	two main exporters are in the North Island, but there
8	are there are exporters small scale in the South
9	Island.
10	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you.
11	DR. BEBAN: Thank you.
12	MR. KELLY: Are there does anyone in the
13	audience have any questions or clarifications that they
14	would like to ask of Wayne or me, or any final remarks
15	or comments that you'd like to make? Please come up.
16	MS. MIGLIORI: I just would like to
17	MR. KELLY: And state your name again.
18	MS. MIGLIORI: I'm Judy Migliori. Now
19	MR. KELLY: Would you spell that, please?
20	MS. MIGLIORI: J-u-d-y M-i-g-l-i-o-r-i. And I
21	wonder if we take over the federal screening, or the
22	inspection then for honeybees coming into the State of
23	Hawaii, is that true, if the proposed rule?
24	MR. WEHLING: Yes.
25	MS. MIGLIORI: Would we have preemption over
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	state?			
2	MR. WEHLING: Yes.			
3	MS. MIGLIORI: What are the pathways for the			
4	honeybees coming in from the United States? That's what			
5	I just wanted to ask of Larry, actually, the pathways.			
6	I mean they haven't come in yet, and we're doing a good			
7	job, but just, I guess, that will be clarified in the			
8	the rules.			
9	MR. WEHLING: There would be no change to			
10	what's currently in action.			
11	MS. MIGLIORI: Okay. Except for that we'd be			
12	conducting it with Plant 14 officers instead of state.			
13	MR. WEHLING: Right.			
14	MS. MIGLIORI: Okay. So there would be no			
15	difference. Okay.			
16	MR. KELLY: Thank you. Please come up.			
17	MR. WONG: My name is Lyle Wong, L-y-l-e			
18	W-o-n-g. I'm an Administrator for the Plant Industry			
19	Division for the State Department of Agriculture. Just			
20	a clarification. If the proposed rules go through as			
21	drafted here, Subpart "D," transiting of restricted			
22	organisms through the United States, Section "D" says if			
23	a bee leaves from approved regions, may not enter Hawaii			
24	because of the presence of Varroa mite, tracheal mite or			
25	African honeybee, those bees may transit Hawaii en route			
	York Stenographic Services Inc			

1	to another state or territory of the United States only.				
2	if the shipment of the bees meets the requirements of				
3	this subpart, as well as other applicable requirements				
4	in this part. Now, with this rule going through as is,				
5	should it go through as is, what would be the				
6	requirement on Australian bees transiting Hawaii? Would				
7	they be considered approved articles that would be				
8	allowable transshipping, unloading, to go into a				
9	practical flight to the U. S. Mainland or Canada? Now				
10	what would be the APHIS requirements on the conditions				
11	of transshipment through the State of Hawaii?				
12	MR. KELLY: You're asking specifically about				
13	all transshipment requirements for Australia?				
14	MR. WONG: Yeah, what transshipment				
15	requirements would apply to Australia bees through				
16	Hawaii? There's a provision for no transloading of				
17	restricted articles for New Zealand and Australia if the				
18	risk assessment is that there are no Varroa mites in				
19	Australia. What would be the transshipment				
20	restrictions, if any, for those bees coming through				
21	Hawaii?				
22	MR. WEHLING: There would be no restrictions.				
23	MR. WONG: Okay.				
24	MR. WEHLING: Because today, or a number of				
25	years now, we've asked New Zealand to double net and to				
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.				
	34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077				

1	include the strip. Now, if we were if we had no			
2	other option through the State of Hawaii, would leave			
3	you in a position to require Australia to double net and			
4	to put an Apistan strip in each and every container. I			
5	don't believe so. No.			
6	MR. WONG: That's what I thought. Thank you.			
7	MR. KELLY: We have one more question from th			
8	audience.			
9	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: What's the probability			
10	of the passage of this bill?			
11	MR. KELLY: I am not going to dodge it, but I			
12	do have to say, we just can't tell you now. We have to			
13	see what comments come in, what issues are raised before			
14	November 18, and then sit down and analyze them, so we			
15	won't have a final decision until well after that			
16	November 18 date, when we have a chance to have our			
17	all of our experts consult with each other and analyze			
18	these things that are raised.			
19	MR. WEHLING: And this is the first of the			
20	three public hearings. Prior to this we've received			
21	about ten communiqués. I left town about a week ago,			
22	and we had received about ten comments up to that point,			
23	most of which echo what I've heard here today.			
24	Certainly, what becomes of this, it's going to depend			
25	very much on how much input we receive from the public.			
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.			

1	And again, for those who spoke for larger groups, please			
2	encourage all of your membership to submit comments			
3	individually, in addition to those submitted on behalf			
4	of the entire group.			
5	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Is there a deadline for			
6	the passage of this proposal?			
7	MR. WEHLING: Not for passage of this			
8	proposal. The deadline for comments is, of course,			
9	November 18. How long it will take for the docket to go			
10	forward after that is unclear. We have a lot of other			
11	issues that have come up as a result of 9/11, and new			
12	security act that has been passed. So there can be some			
13	delays as a result of that.			
14	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: [INAUDIBLE].			
15	MR. WEHLING: Well, the person who cites the			
16	rule is normally the Administrator of the Animal and			
17	Plant Health Protection Service. Sometimes an even			
18	higher level, the Under Secretary of Agriculture for			
19	Marketing and Regulatory Services would sign on.			
20	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Is this the rule that			
21	it can either as pass as proposed or not pass, or could			
22	it have the possibility if it would pass that we could			
23	get the excessive crossed out of it?			
24	MR. WEHLING: There are there is the			
25	possibility for change. That's what this comment			
	York Stenographic Services, Inc.			

process is all about. The final rule could either exactly repeat what was in the proposal and say this is being finalized, or it could discuss the comments and the issues that were raised, and say that the Agency believes that there's a rational basis for making this or that change to the rule based on the comments that you made. In fact, in most cases, with a rule this size, there are almost always some changes made based on comments.

MR. KELLY: Any last remarks or comments or questions?

UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We do want to expand on our market, what would be the best contact for us where we could get our government to work for us as well as -- our export markets, our markets in general...

MR. WEHLING: That's -- okay, it's the rule affects what happens in the United States and in ports of transit through the United States. That's kind of outside the scope of what we're discussing this morning; however, after the meeting, I will give you the contact points in the USDA for questions concerning exports rather than imports and you might also just -- you might also choose to discuss that with people from New Zealand or Australia as well. But I'm not going to reply on the record to that because it's outside the scope of what we York Stenographic Services, Inc.

I	are here.		
2	MR. KELLY: Any more questions or comments?		
3	MR. WEHLING: Thank you.		
4	MR. KELLY: That's it. We will go off the		
5	record now. One last reminder. If you have a parking		
6	ticket and didn't get it stamped, please see Linda on		
7	your way out, and we really appreciate your taking the		
8	time to come today and we'll very carefully consider		
9	everything that we've heard today and any future		
10	comments submitted by November 18. The Hearing is now		
11	closed. It is 11:05.		
12	***		

York Stenographic Services, Inc. 34 North George St., York, PA 17401 - (717) 854-0077

1	CERTIFICATE	OF REPORTER, TRANSCRIBER AND PROOFREADER				
2						
3						
4	IN RE:	Honeybee regulations				
5						
6	HELD AT:	Kailua-Kona, Hawaii				
7						
8	DATE:	October 22, 2002				
9						
10	We, the unders	igned, do hereby certify that the				
11	foregoing pages, numbered 1 through 70, inclusive, are					
12	the true, accu	rate and complete transcript prepared from				
13	the reporting	by the reporter in attendance at the above				
14	identified hea	ring, in accordance with applicable				
15	provisions of	the current USDA contract, and have				
16	verified the accuracy of the transcript by (1) comparing					
17	the typewritten transcript against the reporting or					
18	recording accomplished at the hearings, and (2)					
19	comparing the final proofed typewritten transcript					
20	against the re	porting or recording accomplished at the				
21	hearing.					
22						
23	Date: .//	1/2 ( ) A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A				
24	11/9	1/02 Fanet R. Smeltz Transcriber				
25	,	Janet R. Smeltz, Transcriber				
26		Vork Stenographic Services, Inc.				
27						
28	Date:	William Speak Markens				
29	//	1/4/02 Xarah //AWW)				
30	· ·	Sarah Mowrer, Proofreader				
31		York Stenographic Services, Inc.				
32						
33	Date: //	ladles of				
34	) (	104/02 Pub Carlyn				
35		Rob Carlyon, Reporter				
36		York Stenographic Services, Inc.				
37						

38